DECISION ON APPLICANT REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Under section 60851 of title 2 of the California Code of Regulations, if the Bureau of State Audits or the Applicant Review Panel decides to exclude or remove an applicant from the pool of applicants being considered for selection to the Citizens Redistricting Commission, the applicant may, no later than 10 days after the date of the notification of exclusion or removal, request reconsideration of the decision due to gross error or other compelling circumstances if the applicant was excluded or removed as a consequence of:

• Having a conflict of interest;

Name of the Applicant/Requestor: Clifford R. Sellers

- Failing to satisfy the eligibility requirements for serving on the commission; or
- Failing to comply with the procedural requirements of the application process.

Date of the notice of exclusion or removal: February 16, 2010
Each of the notice of exclusion of femoral.
Date the request for reconsideration was received: <u>February 17, 2010</u> .
Description of the alleged error or other compelling circumstances that may justify
reconsideration of the exclusion or removal of the applicant from the applicant pool: <u>Applicant</u> made an error on the initial application, and therefore submitted a second application using a
different e-mail address in order to correct the error. He did this without realizing that
submitting multiple applications is prohibited by the bureau's regulations.
Request for reconsideration is: Granted
Reason for granting or denying the request: <u>Applicant violated the procedural requirements of</u> the application process unwittingly, and granting reconsideration causes no harm to the process.
Applicant's current status: Applicant is included in the initial applicant pool, and only his second application will be considered.
Name and title of person making decision: <u>Steven Benito Russo</u> , <u>Senior Staff Counsel</u> .
Name and title of person making decision: <u>Steven Benito Russo</u> , <u>Senior Staff Counsel</u> . Date of decision: <u>February 21, 2010</u> .